

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                         | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/649,633                              | 08/28/2003  | Daniel Gelbart       | 5552                    |                  |
| 7590 03/03/2004                         |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| Dan Gelbart                             |             |                      | FERGUSON, MARISSA L     |                  |
| Creo Inc.<br>3700 Gilmore               | Way         | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |
| • | G 4M1       | 2854                 |                         |                  |
| CANADA                                  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/03/200- | 4                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |   | 10/649,633  | GELBART, DANIEL   |  |  |  |
|  |   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Marissa L Ferguson  | 2854  |  |  |  |
|  | - The MAILING DATE of this communication  |   | the correspondence address  |  |  |  |
| Period fo  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any r   | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is one of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory prediction of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (; eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the application to become ABAN | y be timely filed<br>30) days will be considered timely.<br>S from the mailing date of this communication.<br>IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   | •   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 1) 又   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2   | 28 August 2003.   |   |  |  |  |
| ,  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 3)   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice und  | der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. <i>1</i>   | 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims  |   | · . ·   |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the applicati  | on.   |   |  |  |  |
| •  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 5)   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  | ·   |   |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠  | )⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 7)   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 8)□  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction a   | nd/or election requirement.   |   |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 9)   | The specification is objected to by the Exa   | miner.  |   |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.                                       |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection to   | the drawing(s) be held in abeyance  | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 11)  | The oath or declaration is objected to by th  | e Examiner. Note the attached (   | Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |
| Priority u   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   | •   |  |  |  |
| _  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for<br>☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  | eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1   | 19(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No                                       |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage                    |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.                               |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Attachmen  | t(s)  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Pager No(s)/Mail Date                           |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 3) 🔲 Inform  | 5) Aletino of Informal Detant Application (DTO 153)   |   |   |  |  |  |
| C Datest and T   |   |   |   |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/649,633

Art Unit: 2854

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The subject matter of this application admits of illustration by a drawing to facilitate understanding of the invention. Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 CFR 1.81. No new matter may be introduced in the required drawing.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marinello et al. (US Patent 5,714,291).

Regarding claim 1, Marinello et al. teaches a method comprising the step of dispensing the authentication material in powder form over the article before the ink used in printing said article is fully cured (Abstract and Figure 1).

Regarding claim 2, Marinello et al. teaches a method comprising the step of dispensing the authentication material in powder form over the article before ink used in printing the article is fully cured (Abstract and Figure 1), the dispensing being performed by the same equipment used for dispensing spray powder during printing of said article (30,34).

Application/Control Number: 10/649,633

Art Unit: 2854

Regarding claim 3, Marinello et al. teaches a method comprising the step of mixing the authentication material with a spray powder used during printing of the article (Abstract, Column 4, Lines 6-20 and Lines 49-56 and Claims 1,10 and 14).

Regarding claim 4, Marinello et al. teaches a method comprising the step of dispensing the light-activated authentication material in powder form over the article before an ink used in printing said article is fully cured (Column 5,Lines 47-67).

Regarding claim 5, Marinello et al. teaches a method comprising the step of dispensing the magnetic authentication material in powder form over the article before an ink used in printing the article is fully cured (Column 3, Lines 34-65).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over unpatentable over Marinello et al. in view of Itakura et al. (US Publication 2002/0129251).

Marinello et al. teaches the invention, however he does not explicitly disclose a method of adding a biological authentication material to a printed article. Itakura et al. teaches a method of authentication (Paragraphs 0033,0034,0035,0039,0049,0050 and

Application/Control Number: 10/649,633

Art Unit: 2854

0053) utilizing an article with a DNA based material used for identify useful information. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention as taught by Marinello et al. to include the biological authentication material as taught by Itakura et al., since Itakura et al. uses DNA to authenticate and/or identify an article.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marissa L Ferguson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2163. The examiner can normally be reached on (M-T) 6:30am-4:00pm and every other (F) 7:30am-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on (703) 305-6619. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marissa L Ferguson Examiner Art Unit 2854

LAST

EDWARD FROWITZ SUPERVISORY OF EXAMI

TECHNOLICATION 2800

Page 4